Message

From: Mia, Marcia [Mia.Marcia@epa.gov]

Sent: 7/2/2018 2:06:33 PM

To: Thompson, Lisa [Thompson.Lisa@epa.gov]; Kler, Denis [Kler.Denis@epa.gov]

CC: Hambrick, Amy [Hambrick.Amy@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: 60 0000a: reconstruction and territorial seas

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Marcia B Mia
Air Branch
Office of Compliance
2227A WJCS
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
202-564-7042

From: Thompson, Lisa

Sent: Monday, July 02, 2018 9:04 AM

To: Kler, Denis

Cc: Mia, Marcia; Hambrick, Amy

Subject: RE: 60 OOOOa: reconstruction and territorial seas

Hi Denis,

I'm no longer working on Oil and Gas, so I'm referring you to the OAQPS Oil and Gas sector lead - Amy Hambrick. Also looping in Marcia.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

From: Kler, Denis

Sent: Monday, July 2, 2018 8:38 AM

To: McNeal, Dave < Mcneal. Dave@epa.gov>; Thompson, Lisa < Thompson. Lisa@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: 60 OOOOa: reconstruction and territorial seas

David and Lisa,

Below is an NSPS determination request from ADEM. Let me know if you have any questions. And Lisa if you have any input of ADEM's request that would be greatly appreciated as well.

Best, Denis

Denis B. Kler
U.S. EPA Region 4
APTMD/AETB/North Air Enforcement and Toxics Section

Work: 404.562.9199 Fax: 404.562.9163

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:

This electronic message, including attachments, may contain information that is proprietary, privileged, or confidential and exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you may not disclose, forward, distribute, copy, or use this message or its contents. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by electronic mail and delete the original message and all copies of this message from your system. Thank you.

From: Rogers, R Jackson [mailto:jackson.rogers@adem.alabama.gov]

Sent: Thursday, June 21, 2018 4:52 PM **To:** Kler, Denis < Kler, Denis@epa.gov>

Subject: 60 0000a: reconstruction and territorial seas

Denis,

I have two 60 Subpart OOOOa applicability questions arising from the same circumstance that I wanted to bounce off you.

ExxonMobil, at the various gas production production platforms comprising their Mary Ann Field facility, all entirely within Mobile Bay, are intending to reconstruct their gas-powered pneumatic controllers. These pneumatic controllers operate at 12 scf/h bleed and were constructed prior to 2011; after the reconstruction they will operate at 4 scf/h. ExxonMobil assures that the replacement of parts, etc. would cost +50% of the original capital cost; they meet that core element of *reconstruction*. *Modification* cannot apply and is not in question since it's net negative emissions. Here are my two questions:

Does an existing facility, upon reconstruction, become an affected facility while not meeting a Subpart's definition of affected facility?

I am of two minds reading this. Per $\S60.5365a(d)$, pneumatic controller affected facilities are two and only two things: (d)(1) gas-driven, >6 scf/h, continuous-bleed controllers anywhere in the industry but a gas plant constructed/modified/reconstructed after 9/18/15 and (d)(2) gas-driven, continuous-bleed controllers at gas plants constructed/modified/reconstructed after 9/18/15. A gas-driven, 4 scf/h, continuous-bleed controller at a natural gas production platform reconstructed in 2018 wouldn't meet the at gas plant element of (d)(2) and wouldn't meet the >6 scf/h element of (d)(1).

Conflicting with that, in Subpart A, there's a hard §60.15(a) "An existing facility, upon reconstruction, becomes an affected facility, irrespective of any change in emission rate." (all of §60.15 except for §60.15(d) applies to pneumatic controllers, per Subpart OOOOa's Table 3). Two different parts of that contradict the above analysis. "An existing facility, upon reconstruction, becomes an affected facility": To be sure, these controllers are existing

facilities (even without regard to their current 12 scf/h bleed rate just by virtue of being pneumatic controllers) via the definition in §60.2. ".irrespective of any change in emission rate": But OOOOa explicitly defines a couple types of affected facilities (storage vessels and pneumatic controllers not-at-gas-plants) by emission rate. The two conflict, so does §60.15(a) override or does §60.5365a(d)(1)? My inclination is that the more specific regulation (OOOOa) here always trumps.

So this is both specifically about pneumatic controllers under OOOOa and also a broader question as the title implies. I might have missed relevant answers in the Applicability Determination Index, but nearly everything I saw seemed to revolve around proving the 50% cost element.

2. What is the definition of territorial seas as it applies to the definition of onshore?

A more to the point way of phrasing that is: is EPA using *territorial seas* here in the same way as the term is used by other US Departments and on an even larger scope in maritime law (<u>UN Convention on the Law of the Sea</u>)? I couldn't find an EPA definition of territorial seas, so I'm exploring what the term generally means. Under the maritime law definitions, everything 3 miles seaward of a state's baseline is territorial seas, and any water landward of that is inland waters; in drawing the baseline a state may account for features like headlands (Mobile Point) and barrier islands (Dauphin Island) and draw a straight-line between the two across mouths of bays, etc. If EPA means *territorial seas* in that way, then facilities a few miles into Mobile Bay (or, analogously, Cook Sound Alaska) are technically onshore, and I wanted to confirm that that's how the regulation should be read.

If their reconstructed <6 scf/h pneumatic controllers were to be subject the OOOOa, compliance would require little effort; standard is to make sure the controller is <6 scf/h & compliance is to prove it, document it, tag it, etc. ExxonMobil reads OOOOa as applying to their controllers upon reconstruction and intends to comply regardless. But I am interested in the question of applicability for its own sake because it could apply to something more pressing in the future. I appreciate your attention.

Jackson Rogers

Environmental Engineering Specialist
Air Division
Alabama Department of Environmental Management
334-271-7784
jackson.rogers@adem.alabama.gov